1	BEFORE THE					
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION					
3						
	IN THE MATTER OF:)					
4)					
	HARRISONVILLE TELEPHONE)					
5	COMPANY AND CHARTER)					
	FIBERLINK-ILLINOIS, LLC,)					
6	Petitioner,)					
) No. 12-0618					
7)					
	Joint petition for)					
8	approval of)					
	interconnection agreement)					
9	pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252.)					
10						
	Chicago, Illinois					
11	January 8, 2013					
12	Met, pursuant to adjournment, at 10:04 a.m.					
	in Conference Room S-801, 160 North LaSalle Street,					
13	Chicago, Illinois.					
14						
	BEFORE:					
15						
	Ms. Heather Jorgenson, Administrative Law Judge					
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

```
1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
          MEYER CAPEL,
          (306 West Church Street,
 3
          Champaign, Illinois 61826-6750,
          (217) 352-0300),
 4
          MR. JOSEPH D. MURPHY,
          jmurphy@meyercapel.com,
 5
               for the Petitioner,
          ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION,
          (160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800,
 7
          Chicago, Illinois 60601-3104,
          (312) 793-2877),
 8
          MR. MATTHEW L. HARVEY,
          mharvey@icc.illinois.gov,
 9
               for the Staff.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

1			I N	D E X		
2						
	WITNESS	DX	CX	RDX	RCX	By Judge
3						
	None.					
4						
5						
		S T A	FF]	EXHII	вітя	S
6						
7	NUMBER	M		OR ID	IN E	
8	1		7			8
9						
10						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						

- JUDGE JORGENSON: Pursuant to the direction of
- the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
- ³ 12-0618, Harrisonville Telephone Company and Charter
- Fiberlink-Illinois, LLC. This is a joint petition
- for approval of interconnection agreement pursuant to
- 6 47 U.S.C. Section 252.
- 7 Can I have appearances for the record?
- And we'll start with whoever's on the phone.
- 9 MR. MURPHY: On behalf of Harrisonville
- Telephone Company, this is Joseph D. Murphy, 306 West
- 11 Church Street, Champaign, Illinois 61820.
- JUDGE JORGENSON: Is there anybody else on the
- phone?
- All right. Hearing none, we'll have
- in the room, please?
- MR. HARVEY: For the staff of the Illinois
- 17 Commerce Commission, Matthew L. Harvey, 160 North
- LaSalle Street, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- JUDGE JORGENSON: Thank you.
- And when I looked at e-docket before
- 21 appearing here, nothing to my knowledge has been
- filed yet, but I believe that's about to change. If

- you can fill me in on the status, please?
- MR. HARVEY: That's correct, your Honor. Staff
- has -- well, failed to -- as a result of an
- 4 administrative contretemps -- to file its verified
- statement on Friday but is doing so today. It is the
- 6 verified statement of A. Olusanjo Omoniyi. In
- ⁷ summary, and not verbatim, it states that Mr. Omoniyi
- has reviewed the interconnection agreement between
- 9 Harrisonville Telephone Company and Charter Fiberlink
- and has determined that in his opinion it does not
- discriminate against any carrier that is not a party
- to the agreement nor is it contrary to the public
- interest. As such, Mr. Omoniyi is able to recommend
- that the commission approve the interconnection
- ¹⁵ agreement.
- JUDGE JORGENSON: Okay.
- Has the company been afforded this
- statement yet?
- MR. HARVEY: I don't believe it has, but it
- will be. And I would request leave to late file it
- 21 as an exhibit.
- JUDGE JORGENSON: Mr. Murphy?

- MR. MURPHY: Your Honor, if Mr. Omoniyi's
- statement is consistent along the lines of the
- 3 statements that he normally provides in these cases,
- 4 the company has no objection to this being filed as a
- late filed exhibit. And if there's some irregularity
- 6 with it that the company wants to raise, I suggest
- ⁷ that you go ahead and mark the record heard and
- 8 taken, and we'll simply make a motion to reopen the
- 9 record in the very unlikely instance that there's
- something in this statement that isn't standard to
- Mr. Omoniyi's standard statements.
- MR. HARVEY: I have read a vast number of Mr.
- Omoniyi's statements, and I can represent in good
- faith that it is as plain vanilla as they get. And
- it is absolutely consistent with Mr. Omoniyi's
- statements as they've been -- as Mr. Murphy has seen
- in the past.
- MR. MURPHY: And I'm perfectly happy with that
- 19 representation.
- JUDGE JORGENSON: Okay.
- Since the company is happy with that
- representation, I will go ahead and allow this to be

- filed as a late exhibit, Staff Exhibit 1, and if
- there is -- is there anything further?
- MR. HARVEY: Yes, I probably should move this
- into the record, shouldn't I?
- JUDGE JORGENSON: Yes, please.
- 6 MR. HARVEY: Why don't I -- at this point,
- ⁷ staff would move Mr. Omoniyi's verified statement
- into the record as Staff Exhibit No. 1 and indicate
- ⁹ that it has nothing further.

10

- 11 (WHEREUPON, the document was
- marked Staff Exhibit 1 for
- identification, as of 1/8/13.)

14

- JUDGE JORGENSON: Okay.
- Now, that we've got that out of the
- way, I will go ahead and admit this as a late filed
- Exhibit 1 since the company has indicated they have
- no objections if it's consistent with what they
- expect to see, and I will go ahead and mark the
- record heard and taken unless there's anything else
- 22 anybody has for the record today.

		(WHEREUPON, the document marked
2		Staff Exhibit 1 for identification
3		was admitted into evidence.)
4		
5		MR. HARVEY: Nothing from staff, your Honor.
6		MR. MURPHY: Nothing more from the company,
7	your	Honor.
8		JUDGE JORGENSON: All right.
9		The record is heard and taken. Thank
10	you.	
11		MR. MURPHY: Thank you.
12		
13		(END OF PROCEEDINGS.)
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		